

MHHS SIT Advisory Group (SITAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 03/03/2025

Meeting number	SITAG 013.1	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Date and time	28 February 2025 10:00-11:00	Classification	Public

Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date
------	------------	--------	-------	----------

Decisions

Area	Decision Ref	Description	Rationale
Non-Functional Overarching Test Readiness Report Theme 1	SITAG-DEC55	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the SIT Non-Functional Overarching Test Readiness Report for Theme 1 (v0.1)	The Programme invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.
SIT Regression Test Approach and Plan	SITAG-DEC56	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the SIT Regression Test Approach and Plan (v0.3)	The Programme invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.
SIT Regression Milestones	SITAG-DEC57	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS SRO approved the movement of the following milestone to support testing activity; SIT Regression Approval (T3-TE-0122) end date = 24/04/25	The Programme invited objections to the movement of the milestone, to which none were received.

Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
Non-Functional Overarching Test Readiness Report Theme 1	<p>Programme presented the report, seeking approval for the Non-Functional Overarching Test Readiness Report for Team 1. The report highlighted the readiness of participants to proceed into the SIT phase.</p> <p>The SITAG Chair invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.</p> <p>SITAG chair then moved to the decision, asking if there were any objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.</p>

	<p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the SIT Non-Functional Overarching Test Readiness Report for Theme 1 (v0.1) (SITAG-DEC55 – recording timestamp 00:10:20).</p>
<p>SIT Regression Test Approach and Plan</p>	<p>Programme provided an overview of the SIT regression test approach and plan. Programme noted that the plan was sent out for industry review on 27 January 2025, and the consultation concluded on 07 February 2025. They received 209 comments and responded to all of them. Based on these comments, they created version 0.2 of the progression test approach and plan, which was sent back to the industry with eSITWG papers on 18 February 2025. Programme highlighted several discussion points in the regression test approach and plan.</p> <p>Programme emphasised that they are not prescribing the scope of regression testing in the document. Instead, they are outlining how they will arrive at that scope, which includes a regression test framework to evaluate all tests in scope for SIT, including functional, migration, settlement, non-functional, and operational testing. They will score and evaluate all tests according to weights against these criteria to develop the core regression test pack.</p> <p>Programme explained the three-sprint approach:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The first sprint will drive out any regression defects. 2. The second sprint will prove that any applied fixes corrected the issues. 3. The third sprint should be the cleanest sprint, showing that any defect fixes applied in sprint two did not regress any functionality. <p>They will conduct the "settling normally" test case before the main regression schedule starts, alongside the currently planned change of supplier and settlement tests in SIT. Programme mentioned that they will support both faster and slower cohorts and will work with cohorts to ensure that supplemental voluntary regression tests are practical and can be run at the best time.</p> <p>Elxon Representative asked Programme about the exit criteria for cycle 3 of the regression test, specifically what constitutes successful regression testing and inquired about the criteria in terms of no P1 or S1 defects.</p> <p>Programme confirmed that the exit criteria are documented in the regression test approach and plan and confirmed that there should be no P1, S1, or S2 defects outstanding, and all tests should run with 100% pass rate for the regression test pack.</p> <p>Programme then discussed the issuance of version 0.3 of the document following the eSITWG. They included changes based on feedback and extended some timelines to add an additional five-day second industry review of the core regression test pack.</p> <p>Programme noted that they held several bilateral meetings, with only one no vote on the regression test approach and plan, but a few abstentions. They believe they have addressed the primary concerns.</p> <p>Large Supplier Representative asked if he was comfortable that all primary concerns from the large suppliers' cohort had been addressed, particularly regarding the agreement to uplift the approach and plan.</p> <p>Programme confirmed that the plan is to uplift the document once the core regression test pack is defined.</p> <p>Large Supplier Representative asked if all associated risks flagged during discussions had been properly documented in the RAID log.</p> <p>Programme confirmed that three risks from industry feedback were added to the program RAID log and are being worked on. They have added flexibility, checkpoints, and feedback loops to align on the size, scope, and approach of the regression testing.</p> <p>A representative from Elxon asked about the timelines for regression test cases in the SIT B environment.</p>

	<p>Programme explained that non-functional testing should be complete by the time regression testing starts, but there may be some overlap with operational testing. They will have pragmatic discussions to include these tests in the core regression test pack.</p> <p>A representative from Elexon asked when the regression test pack or test cases would be shared with the industry for review.</p> <p>Programme mentioned that the distribution and feedback would be frequent over the next few months, as outlined in the programme plan and the document itself.</p> <p>Programme added that the document would be published at the end of next week, followed by a two-week review period and another five-day review after incorporating comments.</p> <p>Small Supplier Representative had two questions. First, they asked about the removal of volunteering for non-MVC in version 0.3 and wanted to confirm that non-MVC would have to do regression in line with everyone else.</p> <p>Programme confirmed that this was correct.</p> <p>Small Supplier Representative asked about the timelines for cohorts to agree on adding scope on top of the core pack and when this should be finalised.</p> <p>Programme explained that they would work with individual cohorts as the time approaches and expect discussions before the core pack is approved. They need to ensure that any extra voluntary tests are known beforehand and planned into the schedule.</p> <p>Programme added that they are doing analysis based on the current position and testing. They aim to get any additional tests agreed upon alongside the core pack agreement to meet data preparation requirements.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the SIT Regression Test Approach and Plan (v0.3) (SITAG-DEC56 – recording timestamp 00:24:55).</p>
<p>SIT Regression Milestones</p>	<p>Programme explained that based on industry feedback and discussions within the working group, they added a second industry review. This necessitated moving a milestone; SIT Regression Approval (T3-TE-0122) from 16/04/2025 to 24/04/25.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS SRO approved the movement of the following milestone to support testing activity; SIT Regression Approval (T3-TE-0122) end date = 24/04/25 (SITAG-DEC57 – recording timestamp 00:27:55).</p>
<p>Summary and next steps</p>	<p>The secretariat ran through the decisions taken during the session noting that there were no actions. No comments or questions raised by SITAG members.</p>

Date of next regular meeting: 19 March 2025

Attendees

Chair

Smitha Pichrikat (Chair)

Industry Representatives

Charle Latto (on behalf of Stacey Buck)

Graham Wood

Jonny Moore

Lijo Kizhakkethil Louis

Richard Marriot

Robert Golding

Santosh Vasudevan

Shaun Brundrett

Terri Hamilton

MHHS SRO

iDNO Representative

Large Supplier Representative

RECCo Representative

Medium Supplier Representative

NESO Representative

DIP Manager Representative

Elexon Representative

Small Supplier Representative

DNO Representative

MHHS

Anne Robinson

David O'Riordan

Dominic Mooney

Jason Brogden

Keith Clark

Kiran Raj

Roger Robar

PMO Governance Support

Non-Functional Test Lead

Test Manager

Programme Industry SME

Programme Manager

SIT Functional Test Lead

Programme Test Lead

Other Attendees

Jenny Boothe

Taylor Thorpe

Andrew Dudknowsky

Sailaja Puthota

Ofgem

IPA

NESO

Elexon

Apologies

Gavin Gallimore

James Murphy

Seat vacant

Confirmed not filling seat

Confirmed not filling seat

DCC Representative

Supplier Agent Representative
(Independent)

Supplier Agent Representative

Consumer Representative

I&C Supplier Representative